

Element Materials Technology 662 Cromwell Avenue St Paul, MN 55114-1720 USA P 651 645 3601 F 651 659 7348 T 888 786 7555 info.stpaul@element.com element.com

TEAR, TENSILE, AND PUNCTURE TESTING OF POLYESTER SAFETY FILM: R20 SR PS9 – US UNITS

Eastman Performance Films, LLC Date: August 28, 2019

Attn: Charles Adiasor Author: Drew Snook

4210 The Great Road Report Number: ESP031580P.6R0

Fieldale, VA 24089 Client Purchase Order Number: 45508066

REVISION NOTES

Revision	Page #, Section, Description	Date
0	Original Release	08/28/19

Prepared by,

Drew Snook

Non-Metallic Materials Test Technician

Materials Department

Reviewed by,

Charly Arkens

Associate Non-Metallic Materials Engineer

Materials Department

It is our policy to retain components and sample remnants for a minimum of 30 days from the report date, after which time they may be discarded. The data herein represents only the item(s) tested. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior permission of Element Materials Technology. All testing was performed in accordance with the latest edition of the applicable test method in effect at the time of test unless otherwise noted.

EAR Controlled Data: This document contains technical data whose export and re-export/retransfer is subject to control by the U.S. Department of Commerce under the Export Administration Act and the Export Administration Regulations. The Department of Commerce's prior written approval is required for the export or re-export/retransfer of such technical data to any foreign person, foreign entity or foreign organization whether in the United States or abroad.

This project shall be governed exclusively by the General Terms and Conditions of Sale and Performance of Testing Services by Element Materials Technology. In no event shall Element Materials Technology be liable for any consequential, special or indirect loss or any damages above the cost of the work.



INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of tear, tensile, and puncture testing conducted on one sample of Polyester Safety Film material. The testing was authorized by Lisa Joyce of Eastman Performance Films, LLC on July 23, 2019. Testing and data analysis were completed August 16, 2019. The scope of work was limited to conducting tear, tensile, and puncture tests on the submitted sample and reporting the results.

CONCLUSIONS

Tear Testing Conclusions

Sample	Average Tear Resistance Force [lbf]	Average Resistance to Tearing [lbf/in]	
Machine Direction	29.6	2964	
Transverse Direction	28.8	2878	

^{*}See note in Test Results regarding ASTM D1004-13

Tensile Testing Conclusions

	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Comple	Break	Tensile	Ultimate	Yield	Yield	Elongation
Sample	Strength	Strength at	Elongation	Strength	Strength	at Yield
	Force [lbf]	Break [psi]	[%]	Force [lbf]	[psi]	[%]
Machine Direction	166	16070	171	158	15288	10
Transverse Direction	207	20570	137	147	14592	7

Puncture Testing Conclusions

Average Puncture Strength [lbf]
143.4



SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

One sample, consisting of one roll of polyester safety film, was received in the lab for testing on August 7, 2019. The sample is identified as R20 SR PS9 by the client. Specimens were sectioned using dies (tear), film cutters (tensile), and scissors (puncture). Material thickness was measured with adhesive removed as 0.0100 in.

TEST METHOD

The specimens were allowed to condition at standard laboratory conditions of 72 ± 4 °F and 50 ± 5 % relative humidity for at least 40 hours prior to testing. The thickness of each material was determined for resistance and strength calculations. For this, representative samples were taken from each material thickness, the adhesive was removed with an organic solvent, the samples were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol and an average thickness was determined. All testing was conducted with the adhesive layer intact on the specimens. Testing was performed according to the standards detailed below, with notes of parameters and/or deviations.

Test Method	Test Method Title	Parameters and/or Deviations from Method
ASTM D1004-13	Standard Test Method for Tear Resistance (Graves Tear) of Plastic Film and Sheeting	Die Cut Specimens Test Speed: 2 in/min.
ASTM D882-12	Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Thin Plastic Sheeting	2" Grip Separation 1" x 10" Specimens, nominal Test Speed: 20 in/min Initial Strain Rate: 10.0 in/in·min
ASTM D4830/D4830M- 98(2014) ^{e1}	Standard Test Method for Characterizing Thermoplastic Fabrics Used in Roofing and Waterproofing – Section 7	3" x 3" Specimens Test Speed: 12 in/min

CALIBRATED TEST EQUIPMENT

Honeywell Temp/RH Chart Recorder, S/N 7852 243000007, ID MM190-024, cal. 02/Jul/19, due 02/Jul/20 MTS Universal Test Machine, Mdl Qtest / 50LP, System #1532, ID MM210-009.3 & 6, cal. 08/Mar/19, due 08/Mar/20 MTS Load Cell, 2250lbf Capacity, S/N 558821, ID PT-163-071, cal. 11/Jan/19, due 11/Jan/20 Interface Load Cell, 225 lbf Capacity, S/N 1030173, ID PT-163-067, cal. 15/Mar/19, due 15/Mar/20 Mitutoyo Digital 8" Calipers, S/N 0006565, ID MM160-068, cal. 12/Jul/19, due 12/Jul/20 Mitutoyo Digimatic 6" Calipers, S/N 0080204, ID MM160-106, cal. 12/Jul/19, due 12/Jul/20 Mitutoyo Micrometer, S/N 47007254, ID PT-163-048, cal. 10/Apr/19, due 10/Apr/20 18" Steel Ruler, ID PT-163-043, cal. 20/Dec/18, due 20/Dec/19



TEST RESULTS

Tear Results

Sample Specimen		Thickness Tear Resistance Force [in] [lbf]		Resistance to Tearing [lbf/in]	
	1	0.0100	28.2	2818	
	2	0.0100	28.7	2873	
	3	0.0100	30.6	3056	
	4	0.0100	28.3	2833	
	5	0.0100	31.3	3126	
Machine	6	0.0100	30.9	3087	
Direction	7	0.0100	27.9	2790	
	8	0.0100	29.0	2895	
	9	0.0100	30.4	3041	
	10	0.0100	31.2	3123	
	Ave	rage	29.6	2964	
	Standard	Deviation	1.3	135	
	1	0.0100	30.4	3043	
	2	0.0100	28.1	2813	
	3	0.0100	29.8	2975	
	4	0.0100	27.3	2732	
	5	0.0100	29.1	2907	
Transverse	6	0.0100	28.7	2867	
Direction	7	0.0100	28.7	2867	
	8	0.0100	28.2	2816	
	9	0.0100	30.7	3072	
	10	0.0100	26.9	2692	
	Average		28.8	2878	
	Standard	Deviation	1.2	124	

^{*}ASTM D1004-13 subsection 1.1.1 states, "Although resistance to tear can be expressed in newtons per microns, (pounds-force per mil) of specimen thickness, this is only advisable where correlation for the particular material being tested has been established. In most cases, comparison between films of dissimilar thickness is not valid."

Nominal thickness of sample material was used for Resistance to Tearing calculations.



TEST RESULTS CONTINUED

Tensile Results

Sample	Specimen	Width [in]	Thickness [in]	Break Strength Force [lbf]	Tensile Strength at Break [psi]	Ultimate Elongation [%]
	1	1.006	0.0100	160	15854	171
	2	1.029	0.0100	170	16471	199
	3	1.039	0.0100	166	15941	160
Machine Direction	4	1.013	0.0100	164	16168	185
Direction	5	1.068	0.0100	170	15918	140
	Average			166	16070	171
	Standard Deviation			4	253	23
	1	1.020	0.0100	223	21808	145
	2	0.995	0.0100	192	19337	140
_	3	1.012	0.0100	209	20632	95
Transverse Direction	4	1.012	0.0100	191	18825	145
	5	1.004	0.0100	223	22250	160
	Average			207	20570	137
	Sta	ındard Dev	iation	16	1494	25

Sample	Specimen	Width [in]	Thickness [in]	Yield Strength Force [lbf]	Tensile Strength at Yield [psi]	Elongation at Yield [%]
	1	1.006	0.0100	154	15330	10
	2	1.029	0.0100	156	15160	11
	3	1.039	0.0100	159	15306	11
Machine Direction	4	1.013	0.0100	155	15261	10
Direction	5	1.068	0.0100	164	15381	10
	Average			158	15288	10
	Standard Deviation			4	83	1
	1	1.020	0.0100	148	14549	7
	2	0.995	0.0100	146	14715	7
	3	1.012	0.0100	147	14534	7
Transverse Direction	4	1.012	0.0100	147	14560	7
	5	1.004	0.0100	147	14603	7
	Average			147	14592	7
	Sta	ındard Dev	iation	1	73	0

All strength calculations were determined using the measured specimen width and nominal thickness without the adhesive, shown above.



TEST RESULTS CONTINUED

Puncture Results

Specimen	Puncture Strength [lbf]		
1	144.0		
2	141.5		
3	147.4		
4	144.7		
5	139.6		
Average	143.4		
Standard Deviation	3.0		